Page		Type of	Geographic	Primary	Funding	Funding		Roundtable	Management Team		
<u>a</u>	Subject	Funding	Area	Stressor	Range: Low	Range: High	General Description	Comments	Comments	Staff Reco	mmendation
	, Donate			•				Successful applicants	1	••	
	Research			Adverse Harvest					Needs to be		
14	Program - Fish Harvest	Grant	Landagana		500,000	500,000	freshwater/ocean harvest on wild stock.		coordinated with DFG and NMFS.	Fund.	500,000
<u> -</u>	naivest	Grant	Landscape	Impacts	500,000	500,000	narvest on wild stock.	fishery's practices.	DEG and NIVIES.	runu.	500,000
				4				focused on	Concerned that package had too much research		
3	* Research Program - Open Topics	Grant	Landscape	All	3,000,000	3,000,000	link to the ERPP. Funding of \$1m for each	essential to	funding and not enough implementation.	Eliminate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Matanhad	·					Development and implementation of restoration projects and		Wanted to know if upper watershed		
1	Watershed Planning	Grant	Landscape	All	1,000,000	2,500,000	plans by new or existing watershed groups.		allowed to compete.	Fund at \$1 million level.	1,000,000
-	Flaming	Giant	Lanuscape		1,000,000	2,500,000	watershed groups.	up was too general.	compete.	minor level.	1,000,000
							education programs, restoration training programs, mentoring,	General support but not for mass media. No consensus on concepts related to training for			
5	Education	Grant	Landscape	All	300,000	300,000	stream programs.	technicians.		Fund.	300,000

		• •									
								Should be	·		•
					,		Provide matching funds	coordinated with			
				'	,		for the CVPIA gravel	CVPIA and not			
	:				,		restoration program.	implemented if			
											•
				Channel	-	,	Note that matching	CVPIA buget can			
	0	D					funds may have already	cover. May be better	Need to		
_	Gravel	Designated		Form			been funded from Prop	spent on broader	coordinate with	Fund at	
7	Restoration	Action	Landscape	Changes	0	500,000	204.	sediment issues.	CVPIA.	\$500,000 level.	500,000
İ										÷.	
	•						Funding for the NRCS	Make sure NRCS has	NRCS indicated		•
			,	1	,		small screen program.	staff to implement.	that this amount	-	
	Small	-					Must coordinate with	Questioned why	of funding was		-
	Diversion Fish	Designated	-	,			1		_	Fund at	
	ł i	•	Landssons	Faturian and	000.000	000 000	local agencies/interest	proceeding at same	"doable" for their		000 000
8	Screens	Action	Landscape	Entrainment	900,000	900,000	groups.	time as 9.	program.	\$900,000 level.	900,000
		,								•	į.
			1					+ 7		Combine with	•
1	-					. '				Research	
										Program -	•
	_			1			· ·			Alternatives to	
	Research									Screens and	
	Program -						Research to determine		ļ	reduce total	
i '	Small						the biological benefit of		Questioned why 8	funding from	-
	Diversion Fish	·	•						and 9 going at the	\$600,000 to	
	l - i	C4	Landasana		400.000	400,000	screening small				
9	Screens	Grant	Landscape	Entrainment	100,000	100,000	diversions.		same time.	\$200,000	
	·			· ·							
				ļ i							
		-				-	Develop a list of dams	1	,		
					•		which are candidates for		Indicated that		
1 :		·]			removal. Include a		previous efforts		
			·].					1.		•
		,					concurrent evaluation of		had likely already		
	Fish Passage	_					fish passage problems		addressed this	Reduce to	
10	Assessment	Grant	Landscape	Entrainment	500,000	1,000,000	at these dams.		need.	\$500,000 level	500,000
				1)		
						•	Eigh pageage potions				1
					-		Fish passage actions.				
	.	1			٠ .		Projects must be located	1			
				1							
		-	1				in areas where high		•	Increase to \$8	
		*				·	quality habitat will be	One of the best		million and	
	Fish Passage	Grant		Entrainment	3,000,000	5,000,000	quality habitat will be	One of the best restoration	Agreed with Roundtable.		8,000,000

Research Research to develop an array of techniques, other than fish screens, to reduce fish entrainment at dependent and per laken care of. Combination of previous efforts. Believed this had been taken care of.	rch m - ersion eens uce g. 200,000 se p \$14 and abitat
Research Program - Alternatives to 12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Habitat Research Program - Alternatives to 12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Floodplain Floodplain Grant Acquisition Floodplain Habitat Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain Floodplain Floodplain Grant Floodplain Floodplain Grant Floodplain Floodplain Grant Floodplain Grant Floodplain Grant Floodplain Grant Floodplain Floodpl	se so \$14 and abitat
Research Program - Alternatives to 12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment Thoughain Acquisition Grant Habitat Restoration in Floodplain Flood Control F	ersion eens uce g. 200,000 se se s \$14 and abitat
Research Program - Alternatives to 12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment 500,000 South Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment 13 Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Acquisition Grant Changes 12,000,000 Considerable acquisition/easem ent on ag. Floodplain Changes Floodplain Floodplain Sarament Floodplain Acquisition Floodplain Floodplain Sarament Floodplain Floodplain Sarament Floodplain Floodplain Floodplain Floodplain Sarament Floodplain F	ersion eens uce g. 200,000 se se s \$14 and abitat
Alternatives to 12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment 500,000 500,000 diversions. Use the 1997 priorities to acquire fee title or permanent easement for lands within the floodplain 3 Acquisition Grant Landscape Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	seens uce g. 200,000 se se state and abitat
Alternatives to Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment 500,000 500,000 500,000 Entrainment at diversions. Use the 1997 priorities to acquire fee title or permanent easement for lands within the floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feesibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	g. 200,000 se 5 14 and abitat
12 Fish Screens Grant Landscape Entrainment 500,000 500,000 diversions.	g. 200,000 se 5 \$14 and abitat
Use the 1997 priorities to acquire fee title or permanent easement for lands within the floodplains of the major rivers or their tributaries. Floodplain Grant Landscape Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain se) \$14 and abitat	
Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain	o \$14 and abitat
Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain	o \$14 and abitat
Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain Floodpl	o \$14 and abitat
Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood Floodplain Sacramento Floodplain Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 12,000,000 Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	and abitat
Floodplain Acquisition Grant Landscape Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 floodplains of the major rivers or their tributaries. Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	abitat
Acquisition Grant Landscape Changes 12,000,000 12,000,000 rivers or their tributaries. ent on ag. restorment of the component	
Feasibility analysis to study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain Flood	14,000,000
study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	
study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	·
study the opportunity to improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	. !
improving existing habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	
habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain habitats, eleminating fish passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	
Habitat Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain Passage barriers, reducing entrainment, and developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	
Habitat Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain Flood Control Floodplain Flood lain Flood Floodplain Flo	
Habitat Restoration in Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain And developing wildlife/fishery compatible levee maintenance within flood	
Habitat wildlife/fishery compatible levee Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain maintenance within flood	
Restoration in Floodplain Compatible levee maintenance within flood	
Flood Control Sacramento Floodplain maintenance within flood	
THE Dypasses Clant Intallistent Changes 1,200,000 1,200,000 Control bypasses.	1,200,000
	1,200,000
	=
Habitat restoration	
and/or creation	
demonstration projects.	. [
Habitat May include restoration Foo	1
projects recently furficed Implient	•
	tation
	tation bine
15 Projects Grant Landscape Changes 2,000,000 2,000,000 to the public. funding be increased. Acqu	tation bine Iplain

							i			ļ	
1 1	1						-		•		
						•					
1						•	Planning study to design				
	•		•			* .	a comprehensive plan to	Do not duplicate			
							review operations at the	current efforts			•
1.	·					-	five hatcheries on the	(Coleman). Funding			
1				Population	,		American, Merced,	insufficient for full	•		
				Management		-	Mokelumne,	review. Should	Agreed that we	·	
	Fish Hatchery			/ Artificial	'		Sacramento, and	reference mitigation	shouldn't		•*
16	Review	Grant	Landscape	Propagation	250,000	250,000	Feather Rivers.	role of hatcheries.	duplicate efforts.	Fund.	250,000
10	Verien	Giant	Lanuscape	Fiopagation	250,000	250,000	reather Rivers.	Tole of flatchenes.	duplicate enorts.	runa.	230,000
<i>i</i>	ŀ					*	-	. ,	ļ		
1				1	-						
		•					Research, including field				
1 1				j			data and models, to	*	· ·		
	·								٠.		1 4
1 1		\		1			complete life history				-
	Research	•					studies on green	-	ļ		
1	Program -			Population		•	sturgeon, steelhead, and				,
1	- 1		•		٠.		spring run salmon.				
	Selected		,	Management		-	Emphasis on techniques	ľ			
1	Species Life			/ Artificial	*		to use for restoration of	·			
17	History Studies	Grant	Landscape	Propagation	600,000	600,000	these species.			Fund.	600,000
П										,	
1 1											•
				ļ	*:				}	Ì	
					-		Develop an inventory,		Wanted to focus		
1 1			-				determine ecological		on preventing		
	Research				,		effects, and develop		future		
1 1	Program -	ļ	•	Undesirable			permanent control		introductions and	Focus on	
	Introduced		4	Species			efforts for introducted	Build on SFEI Cat III	focus on	Implementation	
18	Species	Grant	Delta	Interactions	1,250,000	1,250,000	species in the Bay Delta.	1 '	implementation.	and fund.	1,250,000
 					.,200,000	.,,	Determine ecological				.,===,==
1				.		-	impact of pesticides on	-	Recognized that	<u> </u>	
		i					invertebrates. The IEP		this and other		
1			-		,		1	Suggested mosting	water quality		
	Impacts of						will be asked to design	Suggested meeting			, * · · ·
	Pesticides on	l					the monitoring program	to ensure better	impact	ĺ	•
	Aquatic	Designated					and then a grant process		evaluations are		
	- 1			10/24-2			will be used to select the	•	necessary to		
4	Invertebrates in the Delta	Action first, then Grant		Water Quality		1,500,000	entity to complete the	on the water quality	address on-going		1,500,000
20			Landscape	O	1,500,000	4 500 000	work.	actions.	info gaps.	Fund.	

.				*			Identify water bodies	, ,				
							most at risk from pesticide exposure. The					
•					;		IEP will be asked to		٠.			· ·
				,			design the monitoring program and then a			,		
	Baseline Pesticide	Designated Action first,		·Water			grant process will be					
22	Monitoring	then Grant	Landscape	Quality	500,000	500,000	used to select the entity to implement the work.	-			Fund.	500,000
•	i						Determine cause of		• .			
		,					observed toxicity to		•			,
į							fathead minnows in the Sacramento River. The					
		-					Regional Board will be			_	-	
	Fathead			ļ. 			asked to design the research and then a					
	Minnow Toxicity in the	Designated			• ,		grant process will be used to select the entity					44
	Sacramento	Action first,	Sacramento	Water	/		to implement the					
24	River	then Grant	Mainstem	Quality	400,000	400,000	program.	ļ			Fund.	400,000
												,
							Determine cause of observed algal toxicity in					
	,		*		-		agricultural and urban					
			•	,			drainages on Sac River, SJ River and Delta. The	٠.				,
•		1	-	•			Regional Board will be asked to design the				-	
	# .						research and then a					
		Designated				,	grant process will be used to select the entity					to the state of the state of
0=		Action first,		Water		500.000	to implement the				·	E00.000
25	Algal Toxicity	then Grant	Landscape	Quality	500,000	500,000	program.			-	Fund.	500,000

26	Water Quality Criteria for Chlorpyrifos and Diazinon	Designated Action	Landscape	Water Quality	100,000	100,000	Complete the four toxicity tests needed to fill data gaps and then calculate final criteria. The DFG will be asked to complete the work.		Fund.	100,000
							Monitoring/research study to evaluate direct chronic impacts of contaminants on			
	Chronic Fish	Designated				-	important Delta fish species. The IEP will be asked to design the program and then the grant process will be	\$		
27	Impairment Studies	Action first, then Grant	Delta	Water Quality	700,000	700,000	used to select an entity to carry out the work.		Fund.	700,000
							Fund proposal B236. The project builds on an on-going pilot project to			
28	Integrated Pest Management in Suisun Bay		Suisun Bay	Water Quality	266,000	266,000	reduce pesticide concentrations in the urban runoff which is discharged into the Suisun Bay.		Fund.	266,000

					• .						-
							·				
			·				Research to determine if				
						•	Delta sediment is toxic				
İ			•				to the aquatic				Ā
1						· ,	ecosystem and to find				
							beneficial reuse options.	•			
ľ			•				The Delta Levees and Habitat Comm. will be		,		
1		."				-	asked to design the				
1	0 - 11 - 1	.			• • • •		research then a grant		٠		•
t	Sediment	Designated		10/0404			process will be used to	•		. :	
31	Reuse and Toxicity Criteria	Action first, then Grant	Delta	Water Quality	500,000	500,000	select entities to do the work.			Fund.	500,000
31	TOXICITY CITIENTA	then Grant	Della	Quality	300,000	300,000	WOIK.			Fulla.	300,000
1		•						Roundtable members	Managament	Reserve funds	
ł		-						continuing to work to	Team briefed on	to allow	•
	Water	Designated		Hydrograph			environmental	find consensus on	Roundtable	Roundtable	
32	Acquisition	Action	Landscape	Alteration	20,000,000	20,000,000	restoration purposes.	this item.	efforts.	deliberations.	20,000,000
			<i>!</i>	·			Internation Densit				
							Integration Panel recognized need for	·			
		·	,	-			Landscape monitoring				
							but had not had				
		•					adequate time to review				
1							CMARP at final meeting. Several members have				
							now recommended				
.					*		funding for monitoring			Reserve \$1	
		Destanated	-	.			similar to USGS	l		million for	
	CMARP	Designated Action	Landscape	All	1,000,000	1,000,000	1	Needs discussion at Roundtable.	NA	funding for CMARP.	1,000,000
-	CIVIANE	Action	Lanuscape	TOTAL	1,000,000	1,000,000	Granges.	Nouridiable.	INA	OWARF.	1,000,000
l .	·			FUNDED:	52,566,000	57,066,000		,			54,666,000